## California Health and Human Services Agency Committee for the Protection of Human Subjects

## New Project Application and Review Checklist For California Information Practices Act Review Only

Proje	: ect Title:		
Princ	utional Affiliation: cipal Investigator (PI): ng Address:		
	ohone: Fax: E-mail:		THIS SHADED AREA FOR CPHS REVIEWERS ONLY Project Number: Reviewer Concurs:
1.	Are adequate justifications provided in the protocol for both the quantity of the data and the variables being requested? (No more than minimum necessary is requested)	☐ Yes ☐ No	☐ Yes ☐ No
2.	Is the data set to be linked with any other data sets?	☐ Yes ☐ No	☐ Yes ☐ No
	If yes, are all data sets identified and each of the variables listed and justified for each linkage?	☐ Yes ☐ No	☐ Yes ☐ No
3.	Will a third party be used to perform the data matching?	☐ Yes ☐ No	☐ Yes ☐ No
	If yes, has evidence been provided of the third party's ability to protect confidential, sensitive information?	☐ Yes ☐ No	☐ Yes ☐ No
4.	Is an adequate plan provided in the protocol to protect the dat from improper use, including the implementation of effective administrative, physical, and technical safeguards: Locked cabinets or rooms? Computer password protected? Access limited to authorized personnel only? Data transported by secure carrier only? Computer not accessible to Internet Laptop computer never left unattended in a car or other unsecure location	Yes No	☐ Yes ☐ No
5.	Has a commitment been made in the protocol that the data wi be reused or provided to any unauthorized person or entity?	ll not ☐ Yes ☐ No	☐ Yes ☐ No
6.	Has a commitment been stated in the protocol to not publish in could possibly lead to identification of individual subjects?	nformation that ☐ Yes ☐ No	☐ Yes ☐ No
7.	Has an adequate plan been provided in the protocol to destroy data as soon as it is no longer needed for research?	y or return the Yes No	☐ Yes ☐ No
8.	Will the research likely involve small cells or small numbers?	☐ Yes ☐ No	☐ Yes ☐ No
	If yes, have appropriate and sufficient methods to protect the identity of individual subjects been described in the protocol?	☐ Yes ☐ No	☐ Yes ☐ No
9.	Has adequate information been provided in the protocol to Demonstrate that the research is scientifically sound?	☐ Yes ☐ No	☐ Yes ☐ No

If yes, is adequate e	Numbers to be used in the research? xplanation provided why other unique d to SSN) cannot be used?	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Yes ☐ No	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Yes ☐ No		
11 List the formal name	os of State detabases to be used in this	rocoarch project			
11. List the formal names of State databases to be used in this research project.  Department  Name of Database(s)/Specimen(s)					
Dopartmont	Hamo or Butabao	o(o)ropodimon(o)			
Principal Investigator's S	Signature:	Date:			
CPHS Expedited Review Use Only (completed by Reviewer) Project #:  Approved for Information Practices Act  Approval deferred pending minor revisions					
☐ Referred to Full Committee					
Reasons for referral to Full Committee:					
Comments and additional information:					
If revisions required, check one of the following options:  ☐ CPHS Reviewer must confirm revisions ☐ CPHS Staff may confirm revisions					
CPHS Reviewer's Signat	ure Date:		_		
	d revisions with all reviewers: Initials:_d approval of all reviewers: Initials:_	Date: Date:			